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Abstract: The infectious disease COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), appeared at the end of 2019 in China, and spread rapidly throughout the world in the first months of 2020. The elderly or anyone with chronic illnesses such as obesity, high blood pressure, lung disease and diabetes, are considered part of the risk group. Health authorities around the world began to adopt and encouraged behaviors to mitigate the risk of transmission, such as washing hands frequently with soap and water, making social distancing, and staying at home if possible, in order to minimize the infection and thereby relieve the health systems and reduce the number of fatalities. In this review, we discuss the possible effects of social distancing on the health of the elderly and describe different strategies of physical exercise to be performed during the pandemic of COVID-19. Aerobic training, strength training and high-intensity interval training (HIIT) are effective for improving immune functions, autonomy, functional independence, and mental health in the elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, physical exercise programs must be planned, adapted, and controlled based on the individual capabilities of the elderly, and remotely guided by professionals trained in the prescription of physical exercise. It is necessary that the general population, and especially the elderly, be continuously informed, protected, and oriented about the benefits and the importance of physical exercise practice during the social distancing caused by the pandemic of COVID-19.
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1. Introduction

The world is been affected by the pandemic he pandemic of the infectious disease COVID-19 (Coronavirus Disease 2019) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which appeared at the end of 2019, in Wuhan city, in China, and spread rapidly throughout the world in the first months of 2020 [1]. Due to its high adaptability to different climatic conditions the infection is rapidly reaching about 115 countries around the world [2], and until June 22th, there were 175 million confirmed cases and 3.87 million deaths, reported to World health organization (WHO) [3]. In addition, the disease is characterized by a high rate of contagion, in which each individual who contracts COVID-19 transmits it to two more people, on average [1].

Primarily the virus is transmitted by air currents from sneezing, breathing, and talking, then it infects the cells that line the air passages. From this occurs the infection by SARS-CoV-2, through the spike protein, present in the extremities of the virus, which is activated by the Transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2), and binds to human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2) receptors. The infection usually causes mild symptoms of cold, fever, shortness of breath, body pain and diarrhea before being neutralized by immune cells [4].

However, when COVID-19 reaches the lungs, where there is a high concentration of ECA-2, it ends up generating downregulation of this enzyme, causing an imbalance of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, leading to vasoconstriction of the alveoli, changes in vascular permeability, myocardial remodeling and lung injury [5]. Due to the vasoconstriction of the alveoli, there is an accumulation of local inflammation, known as “immune system inflammatory cytokine storm” [6], which causes the hyperinflammation of the lungs, leading to acute respiratory syndrome, marked by increases in inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL-6), monocyte-chemo protein 1 (MCP-1) and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (GCSF), which are also inflammatory markers released after strenuous exercise [2,7].

The risk group includes the elderly or anyone with chronic illnesses such as obesity, high blood pressure, lung disease, diabetes, some condition that causes immunosuppression, among others [8]. In the case of the elderly, there is an increased risk of fatality, ≤ 70 years old (13%) and ≤ 80 years old (15 to 20%) [9]. Without a definitive cure for COVID-19, health authorities around the world began to adopt and encouraged behaviors to mitigate the risk of transmission, such as washing hands frequently with soap and water, making social distancing (set of actions that seek to limit social interaction in order to stop or control the spread of contagious diseases), and staying at home as long as possible, in order to minimize contagion and thereby relieve the health systems and reduce the number of fatalities [7,8].

Another major concern has been the possible impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of the elderly [10]. Data from the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic reported a 30% increase in the suicide incidence rate in people aged 65 and over, and about 50% of the infected elderly who recovered remained anxious [11,12]. Studies have explained that the necessary and inevitable social distancing during the pandemic increases the feeling of loneliness and contributes to the increase of stress, anxiety, depression and suicidal ideas during the continuation of the elderly’s life [13–15].
In addition, these afflictions can be aggravated in groups of socioeconomically disadvantaged elderly people, with a higher level of poverty, where financial insecurity predisposes them to higher rates of mental damage than financially stable counterparts [16,17]. Authors reveal the need for public policies that provide ways to occupy the elderly, reducing the idle time, maintaining health, well-being and quality of life, which are considered important measures to be taken during the period of social distancing [18–20].

In addition to mitigation strategies, the adoption of a physically active lifestyle has been guided in the scientific literature as a possible protective factor of the immune system, effective in improving the physical functions of elderly individuals [21–23]. Therefore, the objective of this review is to discuss the possible effects of the social distancing caused by the pandemic of COVID-19 on the health of the elderly and describe different strategies of physical exercise to be carried out during the social distancing caused by the pandemic of COVID-19 in order to assist in maintaining the health of these people.

Social distancing, physical inactivity, and health implications for the elderly

The promotion of active aging, together with strategies that provide support for improving the autonomy and independence of the elderly, are essential to avoid early mortality, functional limitations, and thereby improve the quality of life and well-being of these people [24,25]. However, social distancing is the main way of mitigating the transmission indexes of COVID-19 [26]. As a consequence, many individuals reduce the level of physical activity (PA), and in the specific case of the elderly it is more accentuated, and can bring problems such as the evolution of sarcopenia, fraility, chronic diseases, increases in the rate of obesity [27], in addition to worsening the mental health and quality of life of these people [1].

Obesity, defined as an increase in body mass index (BMI), hip circumference, and the percentage of fat in the central and peripheral regions of the body [28], is associated with higher mortality rates during old age [29]. According to longitudinal data, from 2015 to 2020, the number of elderly people grew from 900 million to 2 billion [30]. In addition, data show that by 2030, there will be an absolute number of 2.16 billion (38%) overweight adults worldwide, and 1.2 billion (20%) obese adults, showing that if correct intervention measures are not taken, we will soon have an “elderly and obese” planet [31,32].

Obesity is an important risk factor for COVID-19 and its complications [33], being strongly associated with physical inactivity, it is considered the central factor for the appearance of the metabolic syndrome, which is related to other chronic diseases such as high blood pressure, diabetes and cardiovascular disease, which are also risk factors for those infected with COVID-19 [7,8]. When obese people are infected by viral agents, they have exacerbated levels of systemic inflammation, called the "inflammatory cytokine storm", and its outcome is the reduction of the body's autoimmune capacity [34,35]. In the case of the elderly, a natural phenomenon known as immunosenescence occurs, in which defense cells, such as NK (natural killers) and T lymphocytes have their function suppressed, in addition to the fact that naturally the elderly have higher pro-inflammatory processes, and in a chronic way, a process known as "inflamaging", making them more vulnerable to the entry of viral agents and less resistant to fight these invaders inside the organism [36]. In addition, obese elderly people have even greater levels of inflammation and unregulated cardiometabolic markers, which attributes to them multiplied risks for infection caused by COVID-19 disease [22,37].

Recent estimates collected from 30 million active users of the Fitbit bracelet show comparisons between the months of March 2019 and 2020, in which in the U.S. there was a 12% daily reduction in the number of steps, and in Spain, Italy and Brazil there were even greater reductions of 38, 25 and 15%, respectively [38]. A recent qualitative study showed that the lack of guidance causes the elderly to reduce their motivation to adhere to regular physical exercise, increasing the level of physical inactivity [39]. It is known that about ≤ 1500 steps per day is considered a low value of PA, while around ≥ 10,000 steps per day is considered a high level of daily physical activity [40]. Another important data shows that the average reduction of 1000 to 1500 steps per day is associated with increased muscle resistance to glucose entry, which contributed to a catabolic and inflammatory condition, besides reducing muscle mass by about 4% in just 14 days [41].

Sarcopenia had its concept recently updated by the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP), as a progressive and generalized muscle disorder that is associated with an increased likelihood of adverse outcomes including falls, fractures, physical disability and mortality [25]. Sarcopenia is diagnosed when an subject have low
muscle strength, along with low muscle quantity (kg/m²) and/or muscle quality (determined in the research by the accumulation of intramuscular fat), and when a reduced functional performance is added, sarcopenia is considered to be in a severe state [42–44].

In addition, it is known that the magnitude of the negative outcomes of sarcopenia is even greater when the subjects remain in physical inactivity for prolonged periods of time [45–47]. Several studies have shown that the decrease or interruption of participation in physical exercise activities and programs can lead to loss of acquired adaptations (i.e., detraining) [48,49]. Studies have been revealing that in the case of elderly people, losses in muscle power and functionality to perform activities of daily living (ADLs) occur at an accelerated rate, which is considered a risk factor for frailty and early mortality [50,51]. In addition, worsening in cardiometabolic parameters and increases in the incidence of obese phenotypes are strongly associated with the cessation of training and with the increase in physical inactivity in the elderly [22,52–54].

Another point that must be considered is the mental health of the elderly, which is also directly affected during social distancing [10]. The WHO defines mental health as “a state of well-being in which the individual realizes his or her own abilities, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to his or her community” [55]. (WHO, 2014). In contrast, mental disorders are conceptualized as "a combination of abnormal thoughts, perceptions, emotions, behaviors and relationships with others". Depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, dementia, and other psychoses are considered mental disorders [56]. Analysis data in 28 countries around the world show that mental disorders affect about 36% of people [57]. In addition, data has been showing that people with low levels of physical activity have shown greater psychological stress than those who are more active, and elderly people aged ≥ 70 years form the group with lower levels of PA, reinforcing the need for personalized monitoring for these people [58].

Physical exercise is conceptualized as “a subcategory of physical activity that is planned, structured, repetitive, and purposefully focused on improvement or maintenance of one or more components of physical fitness” [59]. Physical exercise is considered an effective way to control obesity, preserve functional autonomy and reduce the manifestation of chronic diseases, as well as maintain the balance of the immune system in elderly people [23,60]. In addition, a physically active lifestyle, through any type of exercise that causes increased energy expenditure [61], is associated with improved sleep [62,63], and improved cognition throughout life [64,65], being an important component for mental health and healthy aging [66]. Therefore, in the next topics will be addressed some indications of types of physical exercises to control obesity, improvement of the immune system, maintenance of autonomy and functional independence and mental health of the elderly during the social distancing from the pandemic of COVID-19.

2. Materials and Methods

It is a brief narrative review, done through a search in the databases PubMed (Medline) and Cochrane Library. For this search was used a basic combination of the next boolean operators and their respective entry terms: Population: elderly; Intervention: aerobic training, strength training, high-intensity interval training (HIIT) and Outcome: physical health, mental health, muscle strength, physical fitness, physical functional performance in which was selected the articles that treated effects of physical exercise in health parameters of elderly people, as neuromuscular, cardiovascular, functional performance, immune system, cognitive and mental health benefits.

3. Discussion

3.1 Physical exercise for the elderly during the pandemic

Aerobic training

Aerobic exercise is defined by the maintenance of efforts for long periods, where the energy for the maintenance of the effort comes mainly from the aerobic metabolism, which promotes the complete combustion of carbohydrates and fats and, in some cases, of proteins in the presence of oxygen [67]. It is known that, in general, aerobic exercise promotes benefits to immune function and improves the ability to perform activities of daily living (ADLs). However, when performed for long periods (> 60 min), and intensely (> 75% VO₂max), it can cause the suppression of immune functions, a process known as the "window of opportunity", in which the organism is more susceptible to various viruses and infections [68–70]. There are several studies in the literature that have shown positive acute responses on the immune system of the elderly during the COVID-19 pandemic.
function of the elderly, such as increased activity of NK cells and natural cellular-mediated tumor cytotoxicity (NCMT) [71–74].

In a controlled and randomized study with women aged 65-85 years, Nieman et al. [70] verified the association of the level of aerobic conditioning, the concentration of NK cells and the incidence of upper respiratory tract infections (URTI). At first, highly active women in aerobic exercise exhibited greater activity of NK cells and function of T cells compared to inactive women. After this first comparison, part of the group of inactive women was randomized to the control or training group [30–40 min walk at 60% heart rate reserve (HRR), 5 days a week, for 12 weeks]. After training, there was an increase in maximum oxygen consumption (VO$_{2\text{max}}$), but without improvements in the immune system. In addition, the group of highly active elderly women had a lower incidence of URTIs compared to the group of sedentary women who trained or in relation to the control group.

In another controlled, randomized trial, the effects of 6 months of aerobic training on immunity markers of elderly, physically inactive men and women aged 64-67 years were compared. The aerobic training protocol consisted of 40 minutes of walking three times a week, at an intensity of 52% of the HRR. At the end of the study, the subjects showed significant increases in VO$_{2\text{max}}$, in the proliferation of T lymphocytes and cells, indicating improvements in aerobic fitness along with improved NK antiviral defense [75]. In a controlled trial, Yan et al. [76] compared three age groups composed of men who regularly practiced aerobic activities in the last three years (young people, 20-39 years old; middle age, 40-59 years old; and elderly people, ≥ 60 years old), with their respective physically inactive controls. After training, it was noted that the elderly group showed a small increase in the concentration of CD4+ CD3+ T lymphocyte, and a more pronounced increase in the number of NK cells when compared to their inactive controls. It is known that with the aging process there is a decrease in T-cell function and innate immunity, which can increase the risk of contracting diseases, infections, malignant tumors, and autoimmune diseases [77]. Thus, the results of this study are extremely important for the health of the elderly, since the intervention with moderate aerobic exercise was able to decrease some factors that increase such risks.

In another study, the effect of moderate aerobic exercise on the concentration of antibodies in the elderly (> 64 years) was found. The elderly were randomized to the control group (CG, n=13) or experimental group (EG, n=14). EG participated in 10 months of low-intensity aerobic training (65-75% of HRR), performed on treadmill exercises, ascending steps, remoergometers and cycloergometers, performed 3 times a week and lasting 25-30 minutes, and the CG was recommended to continue their normal activities of life, but without undergoing any systematic intervention. Both groups were previously vaccinated against influenza before the start of the intervention. After the intervention, it was noted that the subjects of the EG had a greater stimulation for the antibodies of the influenza vaccine when compared to the CG, that received only the treatment with the vaccine [78]. The mechanisms related to the modulation of the immune system by physical exercise have not yet been elucidated, however some acute and chronic responses may be related to this modulation [79]. According to Kapasi et al. [80], the changes triggered by physical exercise in the response to immunization to influenza are mediated by neuroendocrine interaction (greater activation of the sympathetic nervous system and hypothalamic-pituitary axis) and the immune system, probably by endogenous opioids, but further studies on this topic are needed.

In addition to the benefits on the immune system of the elderly, aerobic exercise also improves cognitive function and prevents the decrease in the volume of the subfields of the hippocampus [36], improves functional capacity, sleep quality and acts as a blood pressure regulator [81,82]. It is important to remember that aerobic training, when plunged in intensities and progressive volumes, and monitored constantly, has a very low risk to the health of different groups, such as elderly people with acute myocardial infarction [83], middle-aged adults and the elderly with type 2 diabetes [84], and hypertensive elderly [85]. In this sense, it is suggested to practice aerobic training at least three times a week, spending an average of at least 30 minutes, not overcoming 60 minutes for the overall health security of the elderly. It is important to highlight during this practice the care with guidance, supervision, hygiene, and social distancing during the COVID pandemic [86].

**Strength training**

Strength training is characterized by dynamic or static muscle action as opposed to external resistance, typically performed with free weights or machines and provides several benefits to its
practitioners [67]. Strength training can contribute to regulating the lipid profile, reducing obesity and increasing muscle mass, which are factors that reduce inflammation and strengthen the immune system [87]. Recent studies with strength training have found improvements in immune functions and decreased inflammation in elderly people [88–91]. In a systematic review it was shown that strength training with moderate intensity (50–60% 1RM) to high intensity (70–80% 1RM), performed on machines or with free weights, is capable of generating positive effects of moderate to large magnitudes on the inflammatory profile [increased IL-1, decreased IL-6 and decreased Tumor Necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α)] and functional autonomy (e.g. sit and stand test 5 times) of the elderly [92].

Tomeleri et al. [93], from the evaluation of venous blood samples, noticed a significant reduction in the expression of IL-6, TNF-α and C-reactive protein (CRP) in women aged 48-68 years after 8 weeks of strength training, 3 times a week, 3 sets of 10-15 repetitions of 8 exercises for the whole body, with exercises performed with free weights (biceps curls) and with machines (bench press, horizontal leg press, rowed in a sitting position, knee extension, knee flexion, elbow extension in the high pulley, and plantar flexion in a sitting position). In addition, Chupel et al. [94], collected blood samples in the morning, 2 weeks before and after 28 weeks of strength training with elastic bands, in order to verify the inflammatory profile and cognition of elderly women aged 80-90 years. After the intervention, increases in IL-10, and hemoglobin, and reduction in leukocytes and T lymphocytes were noted, with no changes in TNF-α. IL-10 is considered a key cytokine in the anti-inflammatory process, in addition to being responsible for inhibiting the exacerbated increase in TNF-α, which is a marker of inflammation responsible for causing neuronal damage and cognitive dysfunction in the elderly [95], while leukocytes and lymphocytes at high levels are associated with inflammation and worsening cognition [96]. The increased hemoglobin corresponded to improvements in oxygen transport, suggesting an increase in the level of aerobic fitness [97]. The experimental group achieved improvements in cognition, accompanied by reductions in inflammation, a fact that confirms the cognitive benefits of exercise [98]. However, in the control group there was an exacerbation of inflammation, identified by an increase in CRP and TNF-α.

Other recent studies have shown the positive effects of strength training on reducing inflammation in elderly women with breast cancer [99], in elderly women with cognitive impairment [94], and in healthy postmenopausal women [100]. In addition, Mcfarlin et al. [101] identified a low expression of TRL4 (Toll like receptor-4) in elderly women practicing strength training, aged 65-80 years. TRL4 is responsible for recognizing foreign molecules, thus, the reduction of its expression indicates improvements in innate immunity [102]. There is also positive evidence of the effects of combined training (strength + aerobic) on adaptations of the immune system in the elderly, such as the reduction of TNF-α, IL-6, and the percentage of CD14+ and CD16+ monocytes [103]. In addition, the performance of combined training is interesting because it manages to promote different adaptations from both strength training and aerobic training for the elderly, how to improve neuromuscular function and fitness for ADLs, as well as respiratory and cardiovascular adaptations, all of which are inversely associated with early mortality [104,105].

There are also studies that have not shown improvements in immune system markers after strength training with moderate intensity, but there is also no evidence that it causes worsening immunity [102,106]. On the other hand, caution should be taken when performing protocols that have high intensity and/or high volume at the beginning of the strength training program, because the subjects are not yet adapted. High intensity strength training can damage sarcomeres and suppress NK cells. This shows the need to adopt low to moderate loads and/or volumes, as well as progressive increases throughout the strength training program [23,107].

In addition, strength training should be considered as a fundamental component of physical exercise programs for the elderly during social distancing, as it improves autonomy and functional independence for performing ADLs [108,109]. For elderly with a more advanced training level, protocols that have speed components during concentric contractions are also suggested, featuring muscle power movements [110]. Within this perspective, another type of alternative physical exercise for the isolation period is the performance of training based on the use of one’s own body weight, which can also bring improvements in the autonomy, functional independence and quality of life of the elderly, without the need for specific materials and equipment [111].
Based on the exposed evidence, we suggest that the elderly can practice strength training an average of three times a week, with a volume of 3 sets of 10-15 repetitions, an amount of 8 whole-body exercises, and whenever possible embodying maximal velocity of movement in the exercises (power training). It is important to remember that the coaches must ensure that this suggestion of volumes and intensities, as well as the progression and complexity of training, are adapted according to the individuality of each subject, considering important aspects of health i.e., existence or not of chronic diseases, comorbidities, cognition status and previous experience with strength training [108]. Thus, it is a method that contributes to the maintenance and improvement of the physical, mental health, and quality of life of elderly people during the period of social distancing caused by the pandemic of COVID-19. It is also important to highlight during this practice the preventive measures against COVID-19 infection [112].

**High-intensity interval training (HIIT)**

High-intensity interval training (HIIT) is conceptualized as “brief intervals of vigorous activity, interspersed with periods of low activity or rest“, which can produce a strong physiological response in an acute manner. The objective of the HIIT method is to accumulate high intensity activities, around 90% of the peak oxygen utilization (VO$_{2peak}$), or 90% of the maximum heart rate (HR$_{max}$), so that the individual is unable to continue the exercise for long periods. In addition, rest time must be planned to return to exercise within the intensity programmed for the training session [113]. Studies with HIIT found in the literature are characterized by protocols that use cycle ergometers, running and walking on a treadmill, emphasizing body movements that require several joints [114-116].

HIIT has its safety and viability proven both in healthy populations [57], and for populations with risk conditions, such as cardiac patients [117], patients with chronic kidney disease [118], adults and elderly with type 2 diabetes [119] and frail and pre-frail elderly [120], being effective in controlling metabolism, blood pressure and improving immune function [115,121,122]. It is also associated with improved autonomy and independence to perform activities of daily living, and is inversely associated with the risk of death from cardiovascular disease in the elderly [123-125].

In a recent study, Durrer et al. [126] tested the acute effects of a single HIIT session, consisting of 7 sprints of 1 minute, with intensity of 85% of VO$_{2max}$ in inflammation markers in 10 subjects (5 men and 5 women), diagnosed with type 2 diabetes, aged 47-68 years and in 9 non-diabetic controls (4 men and 5 women) of the same age. One hour after the session, individuals in both groups showed reductions in TNF-α levels, and low expressions of the Toll like receptor-2 protein (TRL2) on the surface of the monocytes accessed in the blood. Within what is known, TRL2 and monocytes CD14$^+$ and CD16$^+$ are associated with pro-inflammatory mechanisms and increased cardiovascular risk factors such as insulin resistance and atherosclerosis [127,128]. Thus, reductions in chronic inflammation were noted, which are considered to be protective factors of the innate immune system and to reduce insulin resistance and cardiovascular risk [128–130]. These studies proved the effectiveness of HIIT in improving the inflammatory profile even in healthy individuals, revealing preventive effects against type 2 diabetes.

In another study, Bartlett et al. [131] verified the effects of 10 weeks of an HIIT protocol with walking, 3 days a week, 30 minutes per session, consisting of intervals of exercise 80-90% of reserve oxygen consumption (VO$_{2res}$) and active recovery (80-90% VO$_{2res}$), both lasting 60 seconds, in inflammation and immunity markers of 12 sedentary subjects (11 women and one man), diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), aged 57-64 years. After the intervention, reductions in resting HR and mean arterial pressure (mean BP) were noted, which represent an important protective factor against cardiovascular diseases and premature mortality [132,133]. In addition, increases in the concentrations of reactive oxygen species and bactericidal phagocytes have been observed, revealing an improvement in neutrophil function to attack and destroy invading bactericidal agents [134]. Another important adaptation was the reduction in the frequencies of CD14$^+$/CD16$^+$ monocytes that are directly associated with increased inflammation in RA patients, accompanied by increases in the frequency of CD14$^{bright}$/CD16$^{negative}$ monocytes, which are inversely correlated with inflammation from RA [135].

In another study, Steckling et al. [136] tested the effects of 12 weeks of HIIT on a treadmill, performed 3 times a week, on the inflammatory and adipokine profile of 15 post-menopausal women aged 53-59 years. The training consisted of four sprints at...
90% VO\textsubscript{2max}, interspersed with an active three-minute rest at 70% VO\textsubscript{2max}.

**Sedentary behavior and physical inactivity**
- Increased obesity;
- Chronic diseases;
- Sarcopenia and fragility;
- Falls;
- Inflammation;
- Increase immunosenescence;
- Mental disorders and cognitive falls;
- Low quality of life;
- Early mortality.

**Aerobic training**
- Increased immunity and reduced inflammation;
- URTIs reduction;
- Improvement in the performance of ADLs;
- Increase in VO\textsubscript{2max};
- Increased antibodies to influenza vaccine;
- Blood pressure reduction;
- Improved sleep, cardiovascular function, and cognitive function.

**Strength training**
- Improvement of body composition;
- Increased immunity and reduced inflammation;
- Improvement in the performance of ADLs;
- Combined training reduces inflammation;
- Increased muscle power;
- Reduction of oxidative stress;
- Improved cognition.

**HIIT**
- Improvement of metabolism;
- BP reduction;
- Improvement of ADLs;
- Reduced risk of cardiac death;
- Acute and chronic reductions in inflammation;
- Improved cardiovascular function;
- Improvement of oxidative capacity;
- Improvement of well-being in subjects with mental disorders.

*Figure 1* Effects of sedentary behavior and physical inactivity, aerobic training, strength training, and HIIT (high-intensity interval training) on the physical and mental health of the elderly during social distancing caused by COVID-19.
After the intervention, there was a reduction in the expression of TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, p65 and β-actin, and of adipokines: resistin, leptin, ghrelinin, that are associated with increased concentration of abdominal fat and have been described as mediators of increased insulin resistance [137]. In addition, increases in adiponectin concentrations were found, which is known to have important anti-atherogenic and anti-inflammatory functions, to have cardioprotective factors and to be inversely associated with obesity [138,139].

In addition, Heggelund et al. [140] verified the effects of a single HIIT session, with an intensity of 85-90% $\text{FC}_{\text{max}}$. On the feeling of well-being of men and women aged 26-52 years, who were separated into two groups, the first group was the control group, formed by subjects with no diagnosed mental disorder (CG) and the second group was made up of subjects with a confirmed diagnosis of depression and schizophrenia (EG). Collections of a sense of well-being were performed 15 min and 3 hours after the HIIT session. At the end of the study, it was noted that the positive effect on well-being occurred in both groups, but a prolonged effect (3 hours later), only occurred in the EG.

The depression level did not change in any of the groups, but evidence shows that sustained improvements in well-being are inversely correlated with depression, and if sustained over long periods, they can help improve depressive conditions [141].

As for safety, HIIT is characterized by being performed at high intensities, which, if not properly controlled, suggests offering a greater cardiovascular risk to individuals [142]. Therefore, it is suggested as preventive measures to assess the individual and family history, to know the level of physical activity and lifestyle, as well as possible risk factors, such as cardiovascular disease, hypertension or organ damage that will help to identify the degree of cardiovascular risk for each subject [143]. After screening, it is recommended for the elderly, to carry out medical evaluations and examinations [143–145]. After this process, we suggest that the HIIT training during the pandemic may be needed to be adapted for the trainer, building sessions with simpler exercises, with relatively low volumes (10-15 minutes), initially for three times a week, so that they can guarantee the safety, successful performance of the exercises, thus contributing to the continuity and positive adaptations of HIIT during the period of social distancing. Preventive care against COVID-19 infection should also be emphasized during this practice. Figure 1 summarizes the effects of sedentary behavior and physical inactivity, aerobic training, strength training, and HIIT on the physical and mental health of the elderly during social distancing caused by COVID-19.

4. Conclusions and important home training considerations

Both aerobic, strength and HIIT training are efficient methods to induce improvements in the overall mental and physical health of the elderly. It is worth mentioning that all methods of physical exercise must be adapted to the conditions of social distancing. Strategies should be created for the adherence of the elderly in home exercise programs, such as: promoting knowledge of the benefits of physical exercise; outlining daily routines and individual goals; choosing simple and accessible exercises; stimulating self-monitoring; seeking environmental support factors, such as a safe space and monitoring through phone calls, video calls, with less frequent face-to-face meetings by a professional trained to prescribe physical exercise. Therefore, the type of method (aerobic, strength or HIIT training) must be used and planned previously together with the trainer, thus, enjoying the maximal of the potential benefits of each method of training.
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